

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/981,519	Applicant(s) ABBONDANZIO ET AL.	
	Examiner Kenneth Tang	Art Unit 2195	

All Participants:

(1) Kenneth Tang.

(2) Robert Voigt, Jr.

Date of Interview: 4/24/07

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: *Kuster (US 5,819,112) was provided along with description of the reference.*

Status of Application: Appeal Brief

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
1-22

Claims discussed:
1-22

Prior art documents discussed:
US 5,819,112


Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to amend the claims to place the claims in allowable format.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)